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Thanks to the TI who put this up. Nano implants seem to be a reality. How will we ever prove this? I supplied the link. Peter
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Abstract:
The increasing commercialisation of human ICT implants has generated debate over the ethical, legal, and social implications of their use. The convergence of nanotechnologies with ICT is likely to further challenge the current legal frameworks that regulate them. The aim of this article is to examine the effectiveness of the European data protection legal framework for regulating this next generation- of nano-enabled ICT human implantable devices. The article highlights the potential regulatory challenges posed by the applications and makes a series of recommendations as to how the current European legal framework on data protection will respond to them. --

#1119b Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants Treating or Tracking?
Someone sent me that story and I went and found the link so people could read it themselves. If you keep cutting out the part that answers your question I'm not going to keep going back and getting link every time you ask a question and then throw out the link to the writing.

ICT means Information and communications technology. This is being done with nano implants.
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He's not that hard to find. - Peter

...............Further, the FCC released materials under FOIA that show the FCC gave CIA contract personnel a experimental license, call sign WD2XLW, allowing them to interact with the implanted devices wirelessly using FCC regulated spectrum. The license is only good within U.S. borders confirming this is a domestic tool. The FCC said this license only allows for operation within a short range, but Intel Corp disputed the FCC's claim and submitted data showing that the WD2XLW license, even at only 1-milliwatt of power, was good for thousands of meters or many miles............

This was under a google search: WD2XLW distance........... but the entire fist article is not shown nor what FOIA request disclosed this information. If someone was into searching the hidden Internet this might help.

ECFS Filing: EIBASS (09-36) - 08/26/2010
Aug 26, 2010... status reports for its WD2XLW experimental license be kept
secret, ... 3 The calculated distance to the 1.52 mW/cm^2 occupational limit ...

Plaintiff respectfully submits this application for Temporary
May 28, 2010... 12 call-sign WD2XLW) which is allowing Defendants to use 13
FCC ... Bionics“ explicitly defines “200 feet” as the 17 operating distance. ...

CIA confirms biomedical devices are classified intelligence sources and
methods, page 1

I am writing to disclose continued unlawful acts by the intelligence community
that
are misaligned and causing sensitive information to be dispersed to unauthorized
individuals. My name is Dave Larson. I was involved in early development of
implantable
neural prosthesis devices funded under the DARPA programs of Tony Tether
and Col.
Geoffrey Ling and the NIH programs of William Heetderks. These programs
included
unacknowledged Special Access Programs in which statutory reporting
obligations to
Congress were waived. In some of the contracts, there was a cross-cutting
nexus that
had defense and intelligence agencies looking to exploit the less than
humanitarian
applications of the technology. This program has used innocent civilians as
research
subjects since at least 1997. I submitted a FOIA request to the CIA to verify if the
CIA is using the intellectual property of Alfred Mann, Second Sight LLC and
Advanced
Bionics as surveillance methods. I received a reply which I have uploaded here
CIA FOIA

The CIA reply states that the CIA is in possession of such documents but will not
release the materials stating that the CIA use of the intellectual property is
currently and properly classified pursuant to an executive order and in the interest
of national security and applies to CIA Director’s statutory obligation not to disclose
sources and methods.

Here is his web site with some more writings

http://larsonmedia.net/electlarson/issues.htm

CIA Program jeopardizes the civil rights and liberties of Americans... CIA Director
Leon Panetta recently disclosed to Congress that, under the Bush Administration, a
secret program was conducted for several years without statutory Congressional
notification. The media has reported that Leon Panetta "put a stake through the heart
of the program so that it would never return...". The disturbing truth is that this
program continues domestically, and is funded through September 30, 2011
using an approximate $690 million appropriation from an agency which does not appear
element. A new, more lenient standard for re-directing these funds contained in the IAA
FY2010 permits these funds to be re-directed to intelligence personnel at the CIA as long as it "supports an emergent need". This is being exploited to fund the CIA
program activities through September 2011 (or until funds are exhausted) as an
ostensible funding mechanism. The activities, when directed at Americans, are highly
unlawful and unconstitutional. The program involves the practice of surreptitiously
deploying implantable biomedical devices and using FCC regulated wireless radio
spectrum to a) place the target under surveillance, b) influence or control the subject, or c) target the subject with executive direct type action. The technology dates back to the 1963 CIA Inspector General report which disclosed that the CIA was using "devices for remote monitoring of physiological signals" and the equipment lists which disclose "National Brand" HAM Radios and "EEG's" were being used during the
notorious, but well
documented mkultra program that was uncovered by Senators Kennedy and
Inouye during
1977 Senate investigations.

In this program, an implanted individual falls into the legal patchwork of the
rendition
and detainee practices established by the Bush administration. An implanted
individual
becomes a "ghost detainee", and wherever he roams dynamically becomes a
"ghost site".
The act of surreptitious deployment of the wireless medical devices upon the
subject
falls under the provisions of a "rendition". The Obama administration parsed its
declaration about ghost site practices with the word "overseas", and simply
stated
that the administration was closing "overseas ghost sites". Disturbingly, this
practice
appears to be continuing domestically. Recently, several CIA personnel including
former
Deputy Director Stephen Kappes, were tried and convicted in absentia in Italy for
violations of law relative to these "rendition" practices.

Further, the FCC released materials under FOIA that show the FCC gave CIA
contract
personnel a experimental license, call sign WD2XLW, allowing them to interact
with
the implanted devices wirelessly using FCC regulated spectrum. The license is
only
good within U.S. borders confirming this is a domestic tool. The FCC said this
license
only allows for operation within a short range, but Intel Corp disputed the FCC's
claim
and submitted data showing that the WD2XLW license, even at only 1-milliwatt of
power,
was good for thousands of meters or many miles.